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Executive Summary:

This report aims to present the analyses conducted to ensure a proper understanding and correct implementation of certain individual requirements, more specifically for those regarding Student#5. Among the requirements established for this deliverable, it has been identified that one of them required additional analysis, mainly due to a lack of clarity in certain aspects of its definition
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# INTRODUCTION

This report documents the analysis conducted on the requirements of the assigned deliverable. During the evaluation process, the team identified the need for a more in-depth review of a managerial requirement due to ambiguity in its statement.

Throughout this report, we will outline the analysis process undertaken, along with the final conclusions drawn. This analysis will serve as a foundation for the proper implementation of the requirement, ensuring alignment with the project objectives.

# REQUIREMENT TO BE ANALYZED

## Managerial Requirement 2

**Verbatim copy of the requirement:**

*“Provide a link to your planning dashboard in GitHub to review the tasks, their current status, and your schedule.”*

In this requirement, a link to Student #5’s planning dashboard must be provided. However, the exact placement of this link is not explicitly specified.

After evaluating various options for organizing the link within GitHub, our group has decided to establish a structured directory under “reports/Deliverable 1/.” This structure includes dedicated subfolders for each student, along with an additional folder for the group.

Implementing this approach will facilitate the lecturer’s evaluation by providing direct and organized access to each student’s individual links. Additionally, it enhances the structuring of deliverables by allowing each student to maintain their own space for storing personal reports, clearly distinguishing between individual and group submissions.

Below, we provide the link to the lecturer’s validation of this analysis, which was reviewed by a member of our group. The lecturer’s response in this discussion refers the reader to another thread in the forum, where this topic was previously addressed by a colleague.

[Link to the thread](https://ev.us.es/webapps/discussionboard/do/message?action=list_messages&course_id=_89154_1&nav=discussion_board&conf_id=_426211_1&forum_id=_253522_1&message_id=_458392_1)

# CONCLUSIONS

This report provides a detailed analysis of the requirements for Delivery 01 of Student #5, highlighting potential ambiguities, identifying issues, exploring viable solutions, and making well-founded decisions to improve requirement clarity and project progress.

Each analysis was systematically documented, ensuring that the final conclusions received lecturer approval. By recording the original requirements, encountered challenges, proposed solutions, and final resolutions, this document serves as a transparent reference for the decision-making process. The lecturer’s validation further reinforces the accuracy and feasibility of the adopted decisions.

These analytical records clearly justify the choices made. Looking ahead, these decisions will serve as a solid foundation for implementing the requirements as expected by the lecturers, ensuring precision while minimizing potential errors and misunderstandings.
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